

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/437,726	Applicant(s) STEMMER ET AL.	
	Examiner Bradley L. Sisson	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 061

 (1) Bradley L. Sisson.

(3) _____.

 (2) Donald Pochopein, Reg. No. 32,167.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2005
Time: 2:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Pochopien indicated that while a petition or an extension of tie was filed on 01 December 2005, said extension of time was used to file a continuation application and that no response to the final Office action of 03 June 2005 had been filed in the instant application. .